

Requests for Information

N40085-16-B-9319 eMMRP

1. Q: Will the stockpile area adjacent to B177 be in use by the contractor demolishing B132 and renovating B177 during the utility repair project time frame (Attachment A1)?
A: Yes, the referenced stockpile areas adjacent to B177 will be in use by others. Refer to Attachment A1, Revision 2.
2. Q: The stockpile located to the west of Purnell Street was recently created by the demolition of a building. Has storm-water drainage been installed on the location making it unsuitable as a stockpile area (Attachment A1)?
A: NAVFAC has determined that the referenced stockpile area is not available for use by this contract. Refer to Attachment A1, Revision 2.
3. Q: The stockpile area to the north of B136 is a parking lot. Will an outage for this area be available for the entire period of performance (Attachment A1)?
A: NAVFAC has determined that the referenced stockpile area is not available for use by this contract. Refer to Attachment A1, Revision 2.
4. Q: Please identify "Security Islands" on a drawing?
A: For the purposes of bid, assume that all buildings in the CIA are considered security islands and work in those buildings will require coordination with government provided escorts.
5. Ref: Section 01 14 00.00 22, Paragraph 1.3, d on Page 1
Q: Please identify "special requirement areas" on a drawing?
A: For the purposes of bid, the special requirement areas are work areas located within buildings whether for permanent or temporary work and whether or not the building is located in the CIA or Non-CIA.
6. Q: Erosion control notes refer to "small" stockpiles. Please define "small" by area (C500)?
A: Intent of the erosion control requirements is to prevent point-source pollution contributing from runoff from the construction activities. Expectation is that the KTR will manage the excavation and stockpiling of dirt in a manner that provides adequate control of migration of fines meeting the requirements of the contract documents which make reference to Maine DEP BMPs. A guidance document is provided by the MEDEP entitled "Maine Erosion and Sediment Control Practices Field Guide for Contractors".
7. Q: The Dirtbag detail states consulting with the DEP is required if the structure is within 75' of a stream or wetland. Please define "consulting". If it is, will a permit be required? If so, who is responsible for obtaining it (C500)?
A: Consultation with the DEP is not required. Do, however, identify intended location and sizing/flow rates of filtration bags on the required Storm Water Management/Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (Section 01 57 19.00 22).

8. Q: The temporary construction entrance detail show hay bales in use but, a note on the same plan states that hay bales are not an appropriate erosion control device at PNSY. Which is correct?
A: Generally, haybales are not appropriate because they require embedment and much of PNSY is paved making embedment impracticable. Actual project site conditions will warrant selection of Best Management Practices.
9. Q: Will areas west of B153 be occupied by cranes during the utility repair project time frame (Attachment 2)?
A: Yes, Contractor shall anticipate that portions of the west side of B153 will be occupied by cranes and that phasing of work will be required and coordination with the B153 contractor will be required as indicated on Attach-A2.
10. Q: Will Sloat Avenue be in use to install the electrical service for the B153 during the B153 utility repair project time frame(Attachment 2)?
A: Yes, It is anticipated that the electrical service for B153 will be phased in a manner that permits Sloat Ave to remain in use.
11. Q: Please identify which areas require "archeological monitoring" on a drawing (01 57 19.00 22-- TEMPORARY ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS - PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD (PWD ME).
A: Archeological Monitoring is not anticipated to be required. The note in the spec is intended to make the Contractor aware that should there be discoveries of historical and archaeological items or human skeletal remains in the course of work, that work shall stop and the findings be reported to the Contracting Officer. Direction on how to proceed would then follow and if deemed necessary a modification would be issued.
12. Q: Can a troxler be use in place of sand con testing for density (31 23 00.00 22--- EXCAVATION AND FILL)?
A: It is acceptable to use a troxler; however, safety and nuclear regulations shall be followed and a Maine State Radioactive Materials License is required. Contractor shall provide submittal for approval by Contracting Officer per Section 01 35 26.00 22, Paragraph 3.1.2.
13. Q: The specification for the CIPP lining is for UV cure. Will Portsmouth allow a full structural steam cure lining system as an alternate to the UV system? I have attached the specification. SEE ATTACHED (SPECIFICATION SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?
A: Due to the potential for wet conditions, non UV cured solutions are not acceptable.
14. Q: A number of the pipe sizes listed in the CIPPL Table on plan sheet GC102 do not match the Dia /MTRL size or the size as shown on the plan (SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?
A: Four entries under the "GMP MTRL / DIA" column were incorrectly labeled. Refer to Attachment (1-0005a) which provides a revised GC102, issued as Revision 1. Utilities Note #4 on Sheet GC101 requires the contractor to verify pipe sizes prior to work.
15. Q: Pre-iner should not be required since a UV Cure tube is made with a coating on both the inside and outside of the tube and that will contain the resin (SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?

A: A separate pre-liner product is required only if the contractor's proposed UV cured lining system requires a separate pre-liner feature.

16. Q: Are there any video available of the pipes to be rehabilitated (SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?

A: Yes, videos are available. Due to the size of files and difficulty in distribution of the files, Contractors wishing to view the files and request to have a viewing session here at Building 59 at the PNSY. Coordinate the request through Bridget Bartolomei at bridget.bartolomei@navy.mil or (207) 438-4609.

17. Q: Are there any MH depths which can be used for the thickness design (SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?

A: Estimated pipe depths from the 1965 PNSY sewer plans have been added to the CIPPL table on GC102, issued as Rev1 as Attachment (1-0005a). Note that the Contractor is required to verify all pipe depths prior to CIPP Lining submittal.

18. Q: Can the work hours be adjusted to allow for adequate time to install and cure the CIPPL (SECTION 22 05 83.63 CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE (CIPP) LINING)?

A: Work hours were to accommodate Navy operations. It is possible that some pipe runs may not be able to be completed in the allotted time. The Contractor shall coordinate with the PSNY Contracting Officer during schedule development and at the Prelining meeting to determine if any deviations from the work hours will be required and allowed.

19. Ref: 00 22 13 Supplementary Instructions To Bidders

Q: Please advise how the division 1 requirements should be included in the bid. Clarify if CLIN 0001 Project Management should include all the project incidentals or should those cost be spread throughout the other CLINS?

R: Intent of CLIN 0001 is to include only those costs directly associated with the project management team which may include their vehicles, etc. Other Division 1 costs that are specific to a particular sub-project (i.e., utility location for that project) shall be carried in that project CLIN.

20. Ref: SECTION 01 14 00.00 22 Work Restrictions page 7

Q: Please confirm if required: Work under this contract may be located in an occupied building. Move unfixed furniture away from Contractor's working area as required to perform the work; protect; and replace in original locations upon completion of the work. Leave fixed equipment in place and protect against damage or temporarily disconnect, relocate, protect, and reinstall at completion of work. If determined necessary by the Contracting Officer, the Government will remove and relocate other government property in the areas of the buildings scheduled to receive work.

R: The referenced requirement is applicable to the extent practicable to access and complete the identified piping and connections in buildings.

21. Ref: Section 31 23 00.00 22, pg. 14, para. 3.3.4,

Statement: This section states, "To classify the disposal requirements for excess soils, the Contractor shall excavate test pits in the excavation areas collect representative soil and send the samples to a ME-CERT and DOD-ELAP certified, licensed laboratory for testing. The quantity of test pits/soil samples and laboratory tests shall be based on the disposal facility's requirements. Please check with B-357 (HWSF) to determine what tests need to be performed."

Q1: Standard practice (and EPA sampling guidelines) for waste disposal requires the samples to be collected after the waste is accumulated. Excavation of test pits prior to waste accumulation appears to be an unnecessary exercise. Please clarify.

A1: Intent is to sample the soils in advance as it will confirm the on-site handling requirements as well disposal requirements. For the basis of bid for on-site handling, assume that soils are non-hazardous and non-contaminated. Refer to previously issued Attach (4) Geotechnical & Environmental Site Investigation Report - RM14-0212 Project, July 2015.

Q2: The end of this paragraph states, "All excess soils shall be transported directly to Building 357 for Government disposal of the soils. Under no circumstances shall any excavated soils leave the Shipyard." This statement goes counter to earlier instructions, in the same paragraph, that require testing, approvals and a Removal Pass before transporting soil to the approved disposal facility. Please clarify.

A2: The contractor shall be responsible for transportation and disposal of all excess non-hazardous soils to an approved licensed receiving facility. The contractor shall receive approval from the Government prior to such transport. The contractor shall be responsible for chain of custody of material by completing and providing the referenced Removal Pass.

22. Ref: Section 31 32 11, pg.6, para. 1.7

Q: This section states, "Submit a construction work sequence schedule, with the state or local government approved erosion control plan a minimum of 30 days prior to start of construction." Although a state or local permit has yet to be identified in the RFI process, on its surface, this statement appears to require "approval" from a government body prior to implementation. Please clarify.

A: Intent is that the construction work sequence and the erosion control plans are coordinated documents. Submission of these documents to the state/local government is not required. Rather, submit to Contracting Officer for coordination of review by NAVFAC EV.

23. Ref: Project #6, Drawing G003

Q: Minimum Phasing Requirements notes state that Phase 1 is to be completed by August 30, 2016. Phase 2 is to be completed in 60 days. However, the delivery date in the RFP document states that this project is to be complete by September 30 2016. Please clarify.

R: For the purposes of the bid, assume that the availability of the Phase 2 work area is limited to only 60 days. Revise the Phase 1 milestone completion date to July 30, 2016.